## **Peer-to-Peer Protocols**

- the interaction of two processes through the exchange of messages (PDUs)
  - to provide a service to a higher level
    - » e.g. confirmation of receipt, sequence order guarantees, maximum delay etc.

1



• either across a single hop in the network or across an entire network

- affects whether PDUs arrive in order, how long they take to arrive etc.

- corresponding protocols have to take these characteristics into account
- across a single hop at the Data Link layer:



• end-to-end across an entire network:



- Service models
  - quality of service
    - » required levels of performance
    - » probability of errors, probability of incorrect delivery, transfer delay etc.
  - end-to-end requirements

» arbitrary message size, reliability and sequencing, pacing and flow control, timing, addressing, privacy and authentication

» may be provided by adaptation functions between applications and network



» cannot all be provided by interposed functions

- underlying network may not be able to provide level of service required

 many adaptation functions can be introduced either on a hop-by-hop basis or end-to-end across an entire network

» e.g. error control with acknowledgement of packets and possible retransmission:



- trade-off :

- » hop-by-hop initiates error recovery more quickly good for unreliable links
  - but processing in each node more complex
  - may be slower depending on the ACK/NAK protocol used
- » where errors are infrequent, end-to-end mechanism is preferred
- hop-by-hop versus end-to-end choice also relevant to flow control & congestion

• ARQ (Automatic Repeat Request) Protocols

 used in protocol layers where reliable delivery of a data stream is required in the presence of errors

- assumes a steady stream of blocks to be transmitted

 blocks required to contain a header with control information and a trailer with CRC information (covering the header and the data) to allow error detection

» assume trailer allows error detection with high degree of probability

- can be used over a single hop or end-to-end
  - » for end-to-end use, frames assumed to arrive in order and just once
    - if they arrive at all
  - » where a connection is set up which all frames follow, such as ATM networks
- information frames (I-frames) transfer user packets
- *control frames* are short blocks that just consist of a header and a CRC
  » include ACKs which acknowledge correct receipt of a frame
  - » and enquiry frames, ENQs, which require the receiver to report its status
- *time-outs* are required to prompt certain actions to maintain the flow

- basic elements of ARQ:



assume initially that information flow is unidirectional
 » reverse channel only used of transmission of control information

- Stop-and-Wait ARQ
  - transmitter and receiver deal with one frame at a time

» transmitter waits to receive an acknowledgment from receiver that it has received the frame correctly before it transmits the next frame

- each time A transmits a frame it also starts a timer
  - » set to expire at some chosen point in the future
  - » depending on speed of channel, length of frame, time for B to respond etc.
- example of a frame lost in transmission from A:



– station A transmits frame 0 (and starts its timer), then waits for an ACK frame from station B

- frame 0 is received without error, so station B transmits an ACK frame back
- the ACK from B is also received without error, so A knows frame 0 has been received by B correctly
- A now proceeds to transmit frame 1 (and restarts its timer)
- when frame 1 has errors in transmission
  - » B may receive the frame and detect an error from the CRC
  - » B maybe did not receive any frame at all
  - » in either case, B takes no action i.e. does not return an ACK
    - NAKs not used in this protocol
- A's time-out expires, so it retransmits frame 1
- A continues this sequence of waiting for its time-out to expire and retransmission
  - » until B acknowledges frame 1 successfully
  - » then A moves on to transmit frame 2

- suppose the ACK of frame 1 is lost on the way back to A :



- after receiving frame 1, B delivers its contents to the higher-level user of it

- A does not receive the ACK, so its time-out expires

» A cannot tell the difference of this from the first case

- A retransmits frame 1
- B accepts the retransmitted frame 1 as a new frame
  - » and delivers it to its user again
  - » i.e. the user receives a *duplicate* packet

 this ambiguity is eliminated by including a sequence number in the I-frame header

» B can then recognise that the packet was a retransmission, discard it, and send the ACK again back to A

– another type of ambiguity can arise if the time-out has been inadvertently set too short :



- frame 0 is received correctly and the ACK returned
- in the meantime, the time-out expired too soon and A has retransmitted frame 0
- A assumes that the ACK it now receives is for the retransmitted frame 0

» so then transmits the next frame, frame number 1

- B meantime transmits an ACK back to A for the retransmitted frame 0
- A assumes the next ACK (really for the retransmitted frame 0) is for frame 1
  » and transmits frame 2 to B
- if frame 1 does not reach B, it will not transmit an ACK back to A for it
  » but overall frames received match ACKs sent
- upshot is that frame 1 is *lost forever*

this ambiguity can be resolved by providing a sequence number in the acknowledgment

» transmitter then knows which frame has been received

– transmitter keeps track of the sequence number  $S_{last}$  of the frame being sent

» plus the frame itself, in case it needs to be retransmitted

– receiver keeps track only of the sequence number of the next frame it is expecting to receive,  $R_{\text{next}}$ 

- sequence number must not get too large

» limited space in the I-frame header and the ACK packet

- a 1-bit sequence number adequate in this case
- the combination of  $S_{last}$  and  $R_{next}$  forms the *state* of the transmission link
  - »  $S_{last}$  will be 0 or 1;  $R_{next}$  will be 0 or 1
  - » therefore four states : (0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)
  - » depending on which frame has been transmitted and which ACKs received



- assume A ann B are synchronised and start in state (0,0)
- station A transmits frame 0 with S<sub>last</sub> = 0
- system state does not change until B receives an error-free frame 0
  - » i.e. A continues to retransmit according to its time-out mechanism

– eventually B receives frame 0, changes  $R_{\text{next}}$  to 1 and sends an ACK to A with  $R_{\text{next}}$  set to 1

» implicitly acknowledging receipt of frame 0

– state is now (0,1)

 – any subsequent frames with sequence number 0 are recognised as duplicates and discarded by B

» and an acknowledgment resent to A with  $R_{next} = 1$ 

– eventually A receives an acknowledgment with  $R_{next} = 1$ 

» and starts to transmit frame 1 using  $S_{last} = 1$ 

- A and B are now synchronised again and system is in state (1,1)
- A and B now work together to deal with frame 1
  - » and an orderly delivery of the sequence of frames is ensured

- error recovery can be expedited by use of an ENQ control frame

» which requires the receiver to retransmit its previous message

 it may be more efficient to enquire of the receiver whether it actually received a frame correctly instead of always retransmitting the frame

- » e.g. if the ACK went missing
- » and the frame is very long
- example: a frame is lost en route



- » station A sends an ENQ instead of retransmitting frame 1
- » if B returns its last message i.e. an ACK with  $R_{next} = 1$
- » A knows that frame 1 was not received correctly
  - and can retransmit it

– if it was the ACK with  $R_{next} = 0$  that got lost, A knows that frame 1 was correctly received by B

- » it can proceed with transmitting the next frame
- » and avoid wasting time retransmitting frame 1 :



– in general in ARQ protocols, the value of  $R_{next}$  implies that *all* the previous frames have been correctly received

- Stop-and-Wait can become very inefficient

» when propagation delay is significant in comparison with transmission time

– example: a 1.5Mbps channel, 1000bit packets, for 100km :

- » propagation delay :  $c = 3x10^8$ m/s means 3.3ns/m; hence 0.33ms per 100km
- » packet transmission time :  $1000/1.5 \times 10^{6}$  secs = 0.67ms
- » time between transmission of successive packets =

prop delay A to B + packet trans time + prop delay of ACK B to A + overhead

= 0.33ms + 0.67ms + 0.33ms + ? = 1.33ms

» could transmit 2000 bits in this time : 50% efficient

- example: a 2.5Gbps channel, 10000bit packets, for 1000km
  - » prop delay : 3.3ms
  - » packet transmission time :  $10000/2.5 \times 10^9 = 4 \mu s$
  - » time between packets =

3.3ms + 4µs + 3.3ms = 6.6ms = 6.6x10<sup>-3</sup>secs

» could transmit  $2.5 \times 10^9 \times 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$  bits =  $1.65 \times 10^7$  bits : 0.06% efficient

- this delay-bandwidth product is a measure of lost opportunity to transmit bits

- Stop-and-Wait protocol was used by IBM for their Bisync protocol

» Binary Synchronous Communications

» used for transmitting blocks of character-oriented data from remote terminals to mainframes

- e.g. from card-readers, Remote Job Entry terminals, ATM machines etc.
- 2400bps usual
- » parity coded character codes + final checksum
- » replaced eventually by SNA (Systems Network Architecture)
- » but not dead yet!
  - Serengeti Systems Inc still make terminals using Bisync

– Xmodem :

- » a popular file transfer protocol using Bisync
- » 128bit 1024-bit packets at 4096bps
- » error detection by checksum or CRC
- » Ymodem and Zmodem higher speed versions

Go-Back-N ARQ

 inefficiency of Stop-and-Wait ARQ can be improved by allowing the transmitter to continue sending frames while waiting for acknowledgments

- forms the basis of the HDLC protocol at the OSI Data Link level
- suppose frames are numbered 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

– transmitter has a limit on the number of frames that can be outstanding without acknowledgment :  $\rm W_{s}$ 

- consider the transfer of frame 0 :
  - » after frame 0 is sent,  $W_s$ -1 additional frames are sent
  - » hoping that frame 0 will be correctly received and not need retransmission
  - » all being well the ACK for frame 0 will arrive back
    - while it is already dealing with later frames
  - » continues transmitting ahead as long as ACKs arrive as expected

- a *pipelined* system

- what happens when an error occurs?



- » if frame 3 has errors in transmission,
- » receiver ignores frame 3 and all subsequent frames
- » transmitter eventually reaches its maximum number of outstanding frames
- » and forced to go back N frames, where  $N = W_s$
- » and begin retransmitting all packets from frame 3 onwards again

- correspondence between Go-Back-N and Stop-and-Wait protocols :



» loss of transmission time equal to the time-out for Stop-and-Wait » loss of time corresponding to  $W_s$  frames for Go-Back-N

 Go-Back-N protocol depends on ensuring that the oldest frame is eventually delivered correctly

» since the protocol triggers the retransmission of this frame and the subsequent  $W_s$ -1 frames each time the send window is exhausted

» protocol will operate correctly as long as any frame can eventually get through

 this protocol works as long as the transmitter has an unlimited supply of packets that need to be transmitted

- where there are *fewer* than Ws-1 subsequent packets to send
  - » retransmissions are *not* triggered, since the window is not exhausted
- need to associate a *timer* with every packet
  - » that can expire to trigger retransmissions
- $-R_{next}$  is now the sequence number of the specific frame receiver is looking for
- $-S_{last}$  is the oldest unacknowledged frame at the transmitter
- let S<sub>recent</sub> be the number of the most recently transmitted frame

the transmitter maintains a list of the frames it is processing
 and must *buffer* all frames sent but not yet acknowledged



» transmitter has a send window of sequence numbers : S<sub>last</sub> to S<sub>last</sub> + W<sub>s</sub> –1
 - if S<sub>recent</sub> reaches upper limit, transmitter must wait for a new acknowledgment
 » receiver maintains a *receive window* of size 1 for the next frame R<sub>next</sub> it expects

- Go-Back-N is a sliding window protocol

» if an arriving frame passes the CRC check and has the correct sequence number,  $R_{next}$ , it is accepted and  $R_{next}$  is incremented

- the receive window slides forward
- » the receiver sends an acknowledgment containing the incremented R<sub>next</sub>
  - which implicitly acknowledges receipt of all frames prior to R<sub>next</sub>
  - assuming a wire-like channel in which packets cannot get re-ordered

» when the transmitter receives an ACK with a value  $R_{next}$ , it can assume that all prior frames have been received correctly

- even if it has not received ACKs for all those frames
- because either they got lost or the receiver chose not to transmit them

» upon receiving an ACK with value  $R_{\text{next}}$ , transmitter updates its value of  $S_{\text{last}}$  to  $R_{\text{next}}$  and slides the window forward

- Sequence numbers versus Window size, W<sub>s</sub>
  - » for *m* bits of sequence number in the frame, 2<sup>m</sup> possible sequence numbers

» therefore must be counted modulo 2<sup>m</sup>

- receiver must be able to determine *unambiguously* which frame has been received *taking into account the wrapping around* when count reaches 2<sup>m</sup>

- consider m = 2 i.e. 4 sequence numbers, and  $W_s = N = 4$ :



- » transmitter initially sends 4 frames one after the other
- » receiver sends 4 corresponding acknowledgments
  - but all of them get lost
- » when transmitter reaches its window size, 4, it goes back 4
  - and begins retransmitting frame 0

» when the retransmitted frame 0 reaches the receiver, the receiver has  $R_{next}$ =0, so it accepts this as the next valid frame i.e. frame number 5

- it does not know from the frame number whether this is the next frame or a retransmitted frame



» now when frame 0 is retransmitted, receiver is looking for  $R_{next}$ =3

- so knows this frame is an old one
- in general, for m bits of sequence number, with  $W_s \le 2^m$ -1

» assume current send window is 0 to  $W_s$ -1

» suppose frame 0 is received, an acknowledgment sent back but *lost* 

» subsequent frames may also be received and the acknowledgments lost

- but receiver's  $R_{next}$  is still incremented so  $R_{next}$  is somewhere *in the range 1 to*  $W_s$ » when transmitter resends frame 0, receiver will not be looking for frame 0

- and knows it is an old frame

 performance of Go-Back-N ARQ can be improved by sending a NAK immediately after the first out-of-sequence frame is received :



» the NAK with sequence number  $R_{next}$  acknowledges all frames up to  $R_{next}$ -1

- and informs the transmitter that an error has been detected in frame  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$
- » the receiver now discards all subsequent frames sent
  - and instructs the transmitter to go back and retransmit frame  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$  and all subsequent frames

 in general, the NAK system results in having the transmitter go back less than N frames  Bidirectional flow : transmitter and receiver functions of the protocol are implemented at both ends

» acknowledgement frames can be *piggybacked* into headers of I-frames



piggybacking results in significant improvement in use of bandwidth
 » separate acknowledge frames can often be avoided

- if no frames are yet ready to be transmitted to piggyback into, receiver can set an ACK timer

» that defines the maximum time it will wait for a suitable I-frame

» if it expires, a separate control frame can be sent with the acknowledgment

- a receiver handles out-of sequence packets slightly differently

» a frame that arrives in error is ignored

» subsequent frames that are out of sequence but error free are only discarded after the ACK sequence number i.e.  $R_{next}$ , has been extracted

- this allows the local S<sub>last</sub> to be updated for what it previously transmitted

 the time-out value needs to be chosen so that it exceeds the normal time for a frame acknowledgment to be received

- » this includes 2 propagation delays, one in each direction
- » plus 2 transmission times for the frames used for piggybacking
  - the first one might just have been sent too soon to insert an ACK and so missed

» plus some overhead



- long piggybacked I-frames can delay receipt of the ACK at the transmitter

» and might exceed the normal time-out, thus triggering extra retransmissions

» if the return I-frame is known to be long, a dedicated control frame can be inserted before it to avoid this

- Selective Repeat ARQ
  - in channels with high error rates, Go-Back-N ARQ is inefficient

» because of the need to retransmit *not only* the frame in error but *also* all the subsequent frames

- Selective Repeat modifies Go-Back-N ARQ :

» by allowing frames that are out-of-sequence but error free to be accepted by the receiver

» and by only retransmitting the individual frames in error

- extra buffering is required at the receiver to hold the out-of-sequence frames

- » until the missing frames are received
- » and the sequence of frames delivered in the correct order
- the receive buffer now spans the range  $R_{\text{next}}$  to  $R_{\text{next}}$  +  $W_{\text{r}}$  –1

» where  $W_{\rm r}$  is the maximum number of frames the receiver is prepared to accept at once

– basic objective remains to advance the values of  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$  and  $\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{last}}$  by delivery of the oldest outstanding frame



- ACK frames carry  $R_{next}$ , the oldest frame not yet received

» the receive window is advanced with error-free receipt of a frame with sequence number  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$ 

– unlike Go-Back-N, the receive window may now advance by several frames at once :

» occurs when one or more of the frames that immediately follow  $R_{\text{next}}$  have already been received correctly

» and are buffered in the receiver

- all these frames can now be delivered in order to the final destination user
- Retransmission mechanism :
- when a timer expires, only the corresponding frame is retransmitted

– whenever an out-of-sequence frame is received, a NAK is sent back with sequence number  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$ 

- » when the transmitter receives such a NAK, it retransmits that specific frame
- » piggybacking used for bidirectional channels, as before
- » the ACK frames for subsequent frames continue to hold  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{next}}$

» only when the frame in error is finally received is the sequence number returned updated

- » NAKs are then returned each later frame received in error, one by one
  - each one being a request for that specific frame to be retransmitted

– example:



- » NAK 2 returned when frame 3 arrives out of sequence
- » receiver continues to reply with ACK 2s for subsequent frames
  - indicating that it is still waiting for a correct transmission of frame 2
- » until the retransmitted frame 2 is successfully received

» then the receive window is moved up to  $R_{next} = 7$ , to take account of it having already successfully received frames 3, 4, 5 and 6

- even if the ACKs for the intervening frames did not get back to the transmitter
- ACK 7 implies that all the previous frames have now been received correctly

- Maximum send window size for *m*-bit sequence numbers
- example: m = 2, send and receive window size = 3 :



- » initially A transmits frames 0, 1, 2
- » all three arrive *correctly* but all the ACKs are *lost* 
  - R<sub>next</sub> is incremented to 3 and window advanced
- » receiver's window is now ready to accept frames 3, 0, 1
  - receiver does not know that A did not get the ACKs
  - receiver assumes that frames 3, 4{0}, 5{1} will be transmitted next
- » when A's timer for frame 0 expires, it retransmits frame 0

» upon receiving this frame 0, B now cannot tell whether it is the old retransmitted frame 0 or a new frame with sequence number 0

- possible since frame 3 may have gone missing in transit

- window size =  $2^{m}$ -1 too large

- example: m = 2, send and receive window size = 2 :



- » A transmits frames 0, 1
- » both received correctly but both ACKs get lost again
  - R<sub>next</sub> incremented to 2
- » receiver now ready to accept frames 2, 3
- » when A's timer for frame 0 expires, it retransmits frame 0
- » when B receives this frame 0, it knows that it is a retransmitted old frame 0
  - since a new frame 0 cannot be transmitted by A until an ACK 2 has been sent
  - B discards this old frame 0 since it has already received it correctly

- in general, suppose window size is  $W_s = W_r = 2^{m-1}$ 

» i.e. half the sequence number space

- suppose initial send and receive windows are both 0 to W<sub>s</sub>-1

» suppose frame 0 is received correctly but the ACK for it is lost

» transmitter can transmit subsequent frames up to frame  $W_{\rm s}\mbox{-}1$ 

» depending on which frames are received correctly,  $R_{\text{next}}$  can be anywhere in the range 1 to  $W_{\text{s}}$ 

-  $R_{next} = W_s$  if all the frames transmitted are received correctly

» the end of the receive window,  $R_{\rm next}$  +  $W_{\rm r}$  –1, can be anywhere in the range from  $W_{\rm s}$  to  $2W_{\rm s}\text{-}1$ 

- =  $2W_s$ -1 if all the frames have been received correctly and  $R_{next} = W_s$ 

» the receiver will not receive frame 2Ws until the transmitter has received an acknowledgment for frame 0

» any receipt of frame 0 prior to frame  $2W_s$  indicates a retransmission of frame 0

- I.e. frames cannot get more than 2W<sub>s</sub> ahead

» therefore,  $2W_s = 2^m$  indicates the maximum window size before wrap-around » i.e.  $W_s = 2^{m-1}$ 

- Examples of Selective Repeat ARQ:
  - Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)
    - » slightly more elaborate to deal with a stream of bytes
    - » which the higher level protocol may not immediately send or consume
      - i.e. send and receive windows bigger and need more control pointers
    - » also has to deal with packets arriving out of order
  - Service Specific Connection Oriented Protocol (SSCOP)
    - » originally invented for high-speed satellite links
    - » now used in ATM networks

» both have a large delay-bandwidth product which require an efficient transmission protocol

- Transmission Efficiency of ARQ protocols
  - example: 1024 byte frames, 1.5Mbps channel, 5ms delay (Leon-Garcia)
  - random bit errors with probability p, efficiency  $\eta$  :



- » efficiency of Stop-and-Wait always less than 35%
- » Go-Back-N has efficiencies comparable to Selective Repeat for p less than 10<sup>-</sup>
  - but deteriorates to the performance of Stop-and-Wait when p reaches 5 x  $10^{-5}$
- » Selective Repeat also deteriorates as p becomes larger than 10<sup>-4</sup>
  - at this rate, probability of frame error is  $1-(1-10^{-4})^{8192} \approx 0.56$

- optimum frame length

» as frame size increases, impact of delay-bandwidth product is reduced
 » increasing frame size also increases probability of frame transmission error
 – example: p = 10<sup>-4</sup>

